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1. **Introduction**

The principal method of assessment for AAT’s Accounting Qualification is E-assessment. AAT produces two types of computer based assessments (CBAs): computer based tests (CBTs) where AAT sets and controls the marking; and computer based projects (CBPs) where AAT sets but devolves the marking to training providers. These are administered by training providers and available throughout the year at a date and time set by the training provider.

1.1 This procedure relates to appeals against an assessment result for internally set and assessed mock examinations and for those AAT-provided computer based projects(CBPs) that are internally assessed.

1.2 Prior to submitting an appeal, students should raise issues informally with members of the Programme Team and attempt to resolve any concerns.

1.3 It is important that students ensure that any circumstance which they feel could adversely affect their performance is recorded in accordance with the published procedures and within the deadlines set down.

1.4 All staff involved in an appeal will maintain confidentiality.

1.5 For appeals relating to assessments wholly set and administered by AAT please refer to the process flow chart in Appendix 2

**2. Valid Grounds for Appeal**

2.1 Students can only appeal against an assessment result or a decision of an Assessment Board for one or more of the following reasons:

i. That the student’s performance in an assessment suffered through illness or other compelling circumstances which could not have been reasonably reported at the time of the assessment; students who base an appeal on this ground will be expected to show why the circumstances could not have been reported earlier

ii. That the assessment was not carried out in accordance with the programme and/or module regulations and procedures;

iii. That the administrative procedures were not correctly followed or that a significant mistake was made in the administrative process;

iv. That the assessor(s) did not consider all the previously reported circumstances which may have significantly affected the student’s performance. Students are reminded that such circumstances must be recorded at the time they arise.

**3. Invalid Grounds for Appeal**

3.1 Students cannot make an appeal based on a complaint which was not formally recorded at least 7days prior to completion of the assessment process. In cases where such a formal complaint has been made, but not satisfactorily resolved, the complaint investigation will be completed before consideration of the appeal. If the circumstances can be shown to have had an effect on the student’s performance any relevant findings may be subsequently taken into account in considering the appeal.

**4. Making an Appeal**

4.1 The external routes for appeal regarding AAT programmes, whereby 3cats ltd has assessment responsibility, is laid out in the flow chart in Appendix 1.

**5. Appeals Procedure (Internal):**

5.1 This procedure relates to appeals against computer based projects as set by AAT and internally assessed by 3cats ltd.

5.2 Initially the student will approach the tutor to seek clarification.

5.3 If not resolved the student completes and submits a Formal Appeal Form to Assessors within 21 days of the date of formal notification of the computer based project (CBP) results.

5.4 On receipt, the form will be checked to ensure that it complies with the following:

(i) It is fully completed (including desired outcome, signature)

(ii) All relevant evidence is attached

(iii) The case is clearly stated and relates to one of the previously listed grounds for appeal.

5.5 3cats ltd reserves the right to reject an appeal submission without further investigation if it fails to comply with all of the above.

5.6 Receipt of the appeal will be acknowledged to the student by the Assessor(s), who will advise the student on whether the appeal submission has been accepted and the anticipated timescale for the investigation.

5.7 The form is copied to the Head of Quality with responsibility for the student’s programme.

5.8 The Head of Quality or nominee may collect written evidence from relevant members of staff and/or from the Assessor and will consult with the Managing Director.

5.9 If after the above consultation the Head of Quality or nominee establishes that the appeal is not properly based on one of the acceptable grounds set out in paragraph 2 above, they will recommend that the appeal be turned down.

5.10 If the Head of Quality or nominee and the Managing Director establish that the student does have grounds for appeal, a consultation will be held with the Directors. The consultation will agree what action, if any, should be taken to address the appeal without the need for a Formal Appeals Panel hearing. Any such action may be confirmed by Chair’s action and reported to the next meeting of the Assessment Board.

5.11 The Head of Quality or nominee will notify the student of the decision and indicate the reasons why.

5.12 The student will be deemed to have accepted this decision unless s/he rejects it by informing the Head of Quality or nominee in writing giving reasons, within 14 days of receiving formal notification. Where the outcome is rejected by the student, s/he may request that the matter be referred to a formal Appeals Panel.

**6. Appeals Panel**

6.1 The Head of Quality or nominee will convene the Appeals Panel which will comprise:

(i) A Programme leader with responsibility for the student’s programme, as Chair;

(ii) Two members of academic staff.

(iii) A student representative, normally class representative;

(iv) Head of Quality or nominee will act as Secretary to the Panel.

6.2 The Head of Quality or nominee will notify the student, at least 14 days in advance, of the time, date and place of the panel hearing. This timescale can be reduced by mutual agreement.

6.3 It is the student’s responsibility to prepare and present her/his case and s/he is entitled to bring a friend. This person should normally be a class representative. The friend may make representations on the student’s behalf with the permission of the Chair of the Panel.

6.4 The student is entitled to call witnesses, but must notify the Head of Quality or nominee 7 days in advance of the panel hearing if they intend to do so.

6.5 The student is entitled to see in advance of the hearing all relevant evidence, except provisional assessment marks or grades, but must keep all such information confidential. The student is entitled to be present throughout the hearing except for the private meetings of the panel.

6.6 The panel may also call witnesses and may seek information from the Chair of the Assessment Board.

6.7 Members of the panel may question the student and the witnesses. The student may also question the witness. All witnesses will leave the meeting after giving their evidence.

6.8 When all the evidence has been heard, including the student’s closing statement, the student and their friend will leave the meeting and the panel will consider its decision in private.

6.9 Based upon the evidence the Appeals Panel will either:

(i) Determine whether to uphold the decision of the Assessment or published result; OR

(ii) Require the Assessment to annul its decision or rescind the published result.

6.10 The decision of the Appeals Panel is final.

6.11 The panel’s decision will normally be communicated to the student immediately after the meeting and subsequently confirmed in writing by the Chair. The report of the panel hearing, once approved by the Chair of the panel, will be submitted in confidence to the members of the panel, the Assessor and Directors

6.12 If the Assessment is required to annul its decision or rescind the published result, then it must agree appropriate arrangements for the student to complete any outstanding assessment requirements as quickly as practicable and notify the student accordingly. Such arrangements may be agreed by the Head of Quality.

**7. Completion of the College’s Internal Procedures**

7.1 Following the Appeals Panel, 3cats ltd will confirm that the internal procedure has been completed.

7.2 In the event that the appellant rejects the findings of the Appeal Procedure, they may invoke the process as laid out by AAT under the flow chart entitled “Locally Assessed by Centre”.
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**Appendix 1 - Appeals procedure for locally assessed AAT assessments**

Student discusses actual project outcome and issue(s) with tutor (as process above)

Student satisfied with outcome of appeal confirmed by AAT

Student satisfied with outcome.

Complaint not resolved through centre’s own complaints procedure

Student not satisfied with the outcome. Contact Assessment Operations team

Report to AAT Education and Training Monitoring Panel (ETMP)

Matter referred to Chief External Verifier for further investigation and assessment.

Student informs the Assessment team that the matter is not resolved.

AAT contacts the external verifier to investigate the complaint(s) and assess the project(s).

AAT investigates with the centre that the student has exhausted its own complaints procedure. AAT also obtains copies of the project if applicable.

AAT receives completed application form and fee.

Student requests the assessment enquiries and appeals procedure notes and application form by emailing AAT

Matter resolved

Student still not satisfied with outcome and addresses issue through 3cats ltd complaints and/or appeals procedure

**Appendix 2 - Appeals procedure for centrally assessed AAT assessments**

Enquiry successful

Outcome reported to student and fee refunded

Formal appeal successful

Review successful

Form (EA1) completed and sent to Assessment Operations team at assessment.operations@aat.org.ukwithin the set timeframe, together with supporting statement from tutor/employer and fee.

Student requests review of enquiry outcome

Review unsuccessful, outcome to student

Formal appeal

This information contributes to reports submitted to the Education and Training Monitoring Panel (ETMP)

Formal appeal unsuccessful, outcome to student

Outcome provided to student

Enquiry unsuccessful

Student’s assessment performance reviewed

Student requests the assessment enquiries and appeals procedure notes and application form by e-mailing appeals@aat.org.uk

Student discusses actual project outcome and predicted outcome with tutor (or employer where student is not attached to an AAT training provider)